

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/918,244 07/30/2001		Anil K. Kumar	INTL-0599-US (P11740)	2277		
21906	7590	04/28/2006		EXAMINER		
TROP PRU	JNER & I	HU, PC	GREY, CHRISTOPHER P			
8554 KATY	FREEWA	λY		r		
SUITE 100		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, TX 77024				2616		
				DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006	DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
		09/918,244	KUMAR, ANIL K.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
		Christopher P. Grey	2616	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	_
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING INTERPOLATION OF THE MAILING OF	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•		
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 l</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters	•	
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawing Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin The oath	er. cepted or b) objected to by a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applority documents have been received in the received in th	ication No seived in this National Stage	
1				
2) Notice	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 tr No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/918,244

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9, 11, 12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Tuomainen et al. (US 2001/0015963) hereinafter referred to as Tuomainen.
- Claim 1, 5, 9 Tuomainen discloses automatically closing packet data service application software if the mobility management (paragraph 0017) state is idle (abstract and paragraph 0025 and see claim 1).
- Claim 2, 6, 14 Tuomainen discloses wherein if the mobile subscriber is in a packet data service network, continuing with active packet data service applications if the mobility management state is ready (paragraph 0022 and 0045).
- Claim 3, 7, 15 Tuomainen discloses wherein if the mobile subscriber is on a packet data service network, suspending the current packet data service applications if the subscriber is in the standby state (paragraph 0023).
- <u>Claim 11</u> Tuomainen discloses several different components performing application processing as disclosed in Col 0025. Furthermore, a cellular telephone may inherently utilize several different application processors.
- <u>Claim 12</u> Tuomainen discloses a baseband part (paragraph 0025).

Art Unit: 2616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 4, 8, 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tuomainen et al. (US 2001/0015963)
- Claim 4, 8, 16 Tuomainen discloses data transfer using a circuit switched network (paragraph 0002).

Tuomainen does not specifically disclose closing all packet data service applications if a mobile subscriber is within the circuit data service network.

However, Tuomainen discloses switching off all functional blocks when not needed, where the functional blocks are dedicated for a packet switched network (paragraph 0025 and see the rejection of claim 1), therefore if the subscriber is in a circuit switched network, there is no need for some of these functional blocks to be on.

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to recognize that when a subscriber is in a circuit switched network, the subscriber is not connected to the mobility management of the packet switched network (paragraph 0021), therefore there is no need for packet network service data (paragraph 0025).

<u>Claim 13</u> Tuomainen does not specifically disclose the baseband processor storing a call model.

However Tuomainen discloses the mobile station having memory and functioning to perform several different call processing functions (paragraph 0039 and fig 6) equivalent to the broadly interpreted call model.

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that a call model may be stored in several components within the mobile station, where each component within the mobile station is capable of performing call processing.

- 3. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tuomainen et al. (US 2001/0015963) in view of Illidge et al. (US 20020085514) hereinafter referred to as Illidge.
- <u>Claim 10</u> Tuomainen does not disclose a storage wherein the storage stores second generation and third generation applications.

Illidge discloses a mobile station capable of transistioning to and from second Generation and third generation services using a negotiation procedure (paragraphs 0029-0031 and 0006). Where it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the mobile station as disclosed by Tuomainen with the second generation and third generation options as disclosed by Illidge, where having two separate options can be broadly interpreted as using 2 separate applications or one single application to implement this. The motivation fot his modification is to allow the mobile station to support both first and second generation data sessions (see abstract).

Application/Control Number: 09/918,244

Art Unit: 2616

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Grey whose telephone number is (571)272-3160. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher Grey Examiner Art Unit 2616

1/19/06

CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600